

Annals of the University of Craiova

Mathematics and Computer Science Series

Vol. XLVI Issue 1, June 2019

Managing Editor

Ionel Roventă, University of Craiova, Romania

Editorial Board

Viorel Barbu, Romanian Academy, Romania

Maria Magdalena Boureanu, University of Craiova

Dumitru Buşneag, University of Craiova, Romania

Matija Cencelj, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Philippe G. Ciarlet, French Academy of Sciences, France

Constanța Dana Constantinescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Nicolae Constantinescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Jesus Ildefonso Diaz, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain

Gioia Failla, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Italy

Roberta Filippucci, University of Perugia, Italy

George Georgescu, University of Bucharest, Romania

Ionel-Dumitrel Ghiba, University "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" of Iași, Romania

Ion Iancu, University of Craiova, Romania

Marius Iosifescu, Romanian Academy, Romania

Giovanni Molica Bisci, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Italy

Robert J. Martin, University Duisburg Essen, Germany

Andaluzia Matei, University of Craiova, Romania

Sorin Micu, University of Craiova, Romania

Gheorghe Moroşanu, Central European University Budapest, Hungary

Octavian Mustafa, University of Craiova, Romania

Constantin Năstăsescu, Romanian Academy, Romania

Constantin P. Niculescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Paul Popescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Patrizia Pucci, University of Perugia, Italy

Mihaela Racilă, University of Craiova, Romania

Vicențiu Rădulescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Mircea Sofonea, Université de Perpignan, France

Enzo Vitillaro, University of Perugia, Italy

Antonio Vitolo, University of Salerno, Italy
Michel Willem, Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium
Tudor Zamfirescu, Universitat Dortmund, Germany
Enrique Zuazua, Basque Center for Applied Mathematics, Spain
Runzhang Xu, Harbin Engineering University, China
Mihai Gabroveanu, University of Craiova, Romania

Managing Assistant Editor

Mihaela Sterpu, University of Craiova, Romania

Assistant Editors

Maria Mălin, University of Craiova, Romania
Laurențiu-Emanuel Temereancă, University of Craiova, Romania

Website Editor

Mihai Gabroveanu, University of Craiova, Romania

Volume Editors: Vicențiu Rădulescu, Ionel Rovența, Mihaela Sterpu

Layout Editor: Mihai Gabroveanu

ISSN 1223-6934

Online ISSN 2246-9958

Printed in Romania: Editura Universitaria, Craiova, 2018.

<http://www.editurauniversitaria.ro>

Existence of weak periodic solution for quasilinear parabolic problem with nonlinear boundary conditions

ABDELWAHAB ELAASSRI, KAOUTAR LAMRINI UAHABI, ABDERRAHIM CHARKAOU, NOUR EDDINE ALAA, AND SALIM MESBAHI

ABSTRACT. In this work, we show the existence of weak periodic solutions of a quasilinear parabolic problem with arbitrary growth nonlinearity in gradient and nonlinear boundary conditions. The objective will be achieved by reformulating the problem in abstract form and applying some results and techniques of functional analysis such as the method of truncation associated with Schauder fixed point theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B10; 35K55; 35K59.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point theorem, nonlinear boundary conditions, parabolic equation, weak periodic solutions,

1. Introduction

In this work we are interesting in the existence of weak periodic solution for the following quasilinear problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + G(t, x, u, \nabla u) = f & \text{in } Q_T \\ u(0, \cdot) = u(T, \cdot) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \beta(t, x)u + g(t, x, u) & \text{on } \Sigma_T \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where Ω is an open regular bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $T > 0$ is the period, $Q_T =]0, T[\times \Omega$, $\Sigma_T =]0, T[\times \partial\Omega$, ν denote the unit normal vector to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, $-\Delta$ denotes the Laplacian operator with nonlinear boundary conditions, G is a caratheodory and f is a measurable function.

Many phenomena of biology, chemistry and physics are modelled by equations and systems of the form (1). Before stating the main result of this work, it is worth mentioning that several mathematicians have dealt with this type of problem in Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions using various analytical and numerical techniques and methods, under different hypotheses depending on the situation, see for example, Amman [5], Badii [6], [7], Browder [9], Nakao [16], Pao [17], Sun [21], Wang [22], [23], Zhang [24].

Concerning the existence of classical solutions, complete results were obtained by Amann in [5]. In [10], Duel and Hess have demonstrated the existence of at least one periodic solution of a very general second order nonlinear parabolic boundary value problem under the assumption that a lower solution ϕ and an upper solution

ψ with $\phi \leq \psi$ are known. In [17], Pao studied the existence and stability of periodic solutions for a nonlinear parabolic system under nonlinear boundary conditions. The approach to the problem is by the method of upper and lower solutions and its associated monotone iterations. The objective of the study of Alaa and Igunane in [3] is to show the existence of a weak periodic solutions for some quasi-linear parabolic equations with data measures having critical growth non-linearity on the gradient and Dirichlet boundary condition. In [6], Badii considered the case where G is independent of the gradient, he proved the existence of a weak periodic solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations with the Robin periodic boundary condition under normal general assumptions on the nonlinearities.

The objective of this paper is to present an existence result of at least one weak periodic solution to the problem (1) in the case where G depends on the gradient, applying techniques based on the truncation method joint with the Schauder fixed point theorem.

Our work is presented as follows. In Section 2, we choose the functional framework in which periodic solutions are sought and give the definition of the weak periodic solution. In Section 3, we demonstrate the existence of periodic solutions for an abstract problem in the case when the nonlinearities are bounded by using Schauder fixed point theorem to a nonlinear operator equation. Section 4 is devoted to study the existence when the nonlinearities has critical growth with respect to the gradient.

2. Statement of the main result

In this section we establish our main result, first of all we introduce necessary assumptions.

2.1. Assumptions. Let us now introduce the hypotheses which we assume throughout this section. We consider that

A₁) f is a periodic function such that $f \in L^2(Q_T)$.

A₂) β is a periodic positive continuous and bounded function such that

$$0 < \beta_0 \leq \beta(t, x) \leq \beta_1, \quad \forall (t, x) \in \Sigma_T.$$

A₃) $g : \Sigma_T \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function periodic in time, $s \mapsto g(t, x, s)$ is nondecreasing with respect to s for a.e. $(t, x) \in \Sigma_T$ and

$$g(t, x, s)s \geq 0$$

$$|g(t, x, s)| \leq \xi(t, x) + |s|$$

where $\xi \in L^2(\Sigma_T)$.

A₄) $G : Q_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function periodic with respect to t , such that

$$G(t, x, s, r) \in L^1(Q_T), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T.$$

A₅) G satisfies the following sign assumption

$$G(t, x, s, r)s \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T.$$

$A_6) |G(t, x, s, r)| \leq \mu(|s|) \left(H(t, x) + d \|r\|^\alpha \right), \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T,$
with $1 \leq \alpha < 2, H \in L^1(Q_T), d > 0$ and $\mu : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nondecreasing continuous function.

2.2. Notations and definitions. In this section we present our functional framework for the periodic solutions of problem, we set

$$\mathcal{V} := L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^* := L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$$

we denote by $(H^1(\Omega))^*$ the topological dual space of $H^1(\Omega)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ present the duality pairing between \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^* , the standard norm of $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} := \left(\int_{Q_T} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dt dx + \int_{Q_T} |u(t, x)|^2 dt dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Through this paper we equipped \mathcal{V} with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \left(\int_{Q_T} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dt dx + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, \sigma) |u(t, \sigma)|^2 dt d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is equivalent to the standard norm of $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$, we denote by u the trace of u on Σ_T . Let us define the set

$$\mathcal{W}(0, T) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{V} \mid \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{V}^* \text{ and } u(0) = u(T) \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{\mathcal{V}^*}$$

It is necessary to clarify in which sense we want to solve the problem (1), for which we introduce the notion of weak periodic solution.

Definition 2.1. A function u is said to be a weak periodic solution of the problem (1), if it satisfies

$$u \in \mathcal{V}, G(t, x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(Q_T), uG(t, x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(Q_T)$$

and $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{W}(0, T) \cap L^\infty(Q_T)$

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, u, \nabla u) \phi + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u \phi \\ + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u) \phi = \int_{Q_T} f \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to state the first result of the existence of a weak periodic solution.

3. An existence result when \mathbf{G} is bounded

Theorem 3.1. Under hypotheses (A_1) - (A_5) and assuming that there exists a non-negative function $h \in L^2(Q_T)$ such as for almost $(t, x) \in Q_T$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$|G(t, x, s, r)| \leq h(t, x). \quad (2)$$

Then the problem (1) admits a weak periodic solution $u \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1. The existence of weak solution to (1) will be based on the research of fixed points for the following mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : \mathcal{V} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \\ w &\longmapsto \Psi(w) = u\end{aligned}$$

where u is the unique weak periodic solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + G(t, x, w, \nabla w) = f & \text{in } Q_T \\ u(0) = u(T) & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \beta(t, x)u + g(t, x, u) & \text{on } \Sigma_T \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The existence and the uniqueness of $u \in \mathcal{W}(0, T) \subset \mathcal{V}$ weak periodic solution of (3) is a direct consequence of Badii's theorem in [6] and satisfy $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{W}(0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u) \varphi + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u \varphi \\ + \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w, \nabla w) \varphi = \int_{Q_T} f \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then the mapping is well defined, to prove the existence of a fixed point of Ψ , we will pass by three steps.

3.1.1. Continuity of Ψ . To show the continuity of Ψ , we will prove some very important estimates and convergences. Let $w_n \in \mathcal{V}$ be a sequence converge strongly to w in \mathcal{V} . Moreover, let u_n denote the weak periodic solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u_n, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) \varphi + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n \varphi \\ + \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) \varphi = \int_{Q_T} f \varphi \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Setting $\varphi = u_n$ as a test function in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u_n, \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u_n + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n^2 \\ + \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) u_n = \int_{Q_T} f u_n \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Conditions (A_2) , (A_3) , (A_5) the periodicity and the Young's inequality give us,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) |u_n|^2 &\leq \int_{Q_T} |f u_n| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \epsilon \|u_n\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \epsilon C(T, \Omega) \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

we choose ϵ small enough to obtain the following classical energy estimate

$$\left(\int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n(t, x)|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) |u_n|^2 \right) \leq C \quad (7)$$

where the positive real constant C is independent of n . From (5) and the energy estimate (7) we get that $(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t})$ is bounded in the \mathcal{V}^* norm. This provided the boundedness of u_n in the norm of the set $\mathcal{W}(0, T)$, i.e.

$$\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{W}(0, T)} \leq C, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Thus, we can select a subsequence, still denoted by u_n such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{V} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

By Aubin's Theorem [21], the sequence u_n is precompact in $L^2(Q_T)$ therefore,

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T$$

Furthermore, according to the trace theorem, see Morrey [15], theorem 3.4.1, one has

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\Sigma_T) \text{ and a.e. in } \Sigma_T$$

To conclude we prove that the sequence ∇u_n converges strongly to ∇u in $L^2(Q_T)$. From (6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 &= \int_{Q_T} f u_n - \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u_n - \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) u_n \end{aligned}$$

since w_n converge strongly in \mathcal{V} and u_n converge strongly in $L^2(Q_T)$, we can pass to the limit in the last inequality, so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 &= \int_{Q_T} f u - \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u) u - \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w, \nabla w) u \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Moreover, setting $\varphi = u$ as a test function in (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u_n, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n \nabla u &= \int_{Q_T} f u - \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u - \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n u \\ &\quad - \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) u \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit on $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u|^2 &= \int_{Q_T} f u - \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u) u - \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w, \nabla w) u \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By comparing (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 = \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u|^2$$

which gives us the result indicated. Consequently, the mapping Ψ is continuous.

3.1.2. Compactness of Ψ . Let (w_n) be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{V} and we denote $u_n = \Psi(w_n)$, by the same reasoning of the first step, we have (up to a subsequence)

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &\rightharpoonup w \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{V}, \\ u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{V}, \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} &\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{V}^*, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e in } Q_T, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Sigma_T) \text{ and a.e in } \Sigma_T \end{aligned}$$

to get the compactness of Ψ , it suffices to prove the strong convergence of (∇u_n) in $L^2(Q_T)$, noting that the difficulty is presented by the absence of the almost every where convergence of (∇w_n) in Q_T , but we can overcome this problem. Observing that

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^2 = \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) - \int_{Q_T} \nabla u (\nabla u_n - \nabla u),$$

thanks to the weak convergence of (u_n) in \mathcal{V} , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} \nabla u (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) = 0$, on the other hand, setting $\varphi = u$ as a test function in the equation satisfied by (u_n) , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) &= \int_{Q_T} f(u_n - u) - \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, u_n - u \right\rangle - \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) (u_n - u) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n (u_n - u) - \int_{Q_T} G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) (u_n - u) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By using (2), we have

$$\int_{Q_T} |G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) (u_n - u)| \leq \|h\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} |G(t, x, w_n, \nabla w_n) (u_n - u)| = 0.$$

On the other hand, the periodicity and the weak convergence of $(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t})$ in \mathcal{V}^* yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, u_n - u \right\rangle = - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\langle \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}, u \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, u \right\rangle = 0.$$

Now, we can pass to the limit in (10) to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) = 0$$

So, we obtain the strong convergence of (∇u_n) in $L^2(Q_T)$, this allows us to get the compactness of Ψ .

3.1.3. Ψ send the ball of \mathcal{V} of R radius to itself. In this step, we get the existence of a constant $R > 0$ such that $\Psi(\mathcal{B}(0, R)) \subset \mathcal{B}(0, R)$ where $\mathcal{B}(0, R)$ is the ball of \mathcal{V} with radius R . Let $w \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u = \Psi(w)$, by taking u as test function in the equation satisfied by u , it is easy to prove the following estimate

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \left(\|h\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \right) := R.$$

Then by a simple application of Schauder's fixed point theorem there exists $u \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $u = \Psi(u)$ i.e u solves (1).

4. Existence result when G has critical growth nonlinearities with respect to the gradient

In this section, we will study the existence of weak periodic solution of (1) when the nonlinearities G has critical growth with respect to the gradient, which can be satisfied by the assumption (A_6) .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (A_1) - (A_6) hold. Then (1) has a weak periodic solution u in the sense of the definition 2.1.*

4.1. Proof of Theorem 4.1. To prove Theorem 4.1, we will use the method of truncation combined with the result of Theorem 3.1, the difficulty come back to prove the almost everywhere convergence of the gradient of the approximate solution under nonlinear boundary condition.

4.1.1. Approximating problem. For all $n \geq 1$, we approximate G as follows

$$G_n(t, x, s, r) = \frac{G(t, x, s, r)}{1 + \frac{1}{n} |G(t, x, s, r)|},$$

we remark that G_n satisfies the following properties

$$G_n(t, x, s, r)s \geq 0, \text{ and } |G_n(t, x, s, r)| \leq n.$$

We define the approximate problem of (1) by

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in \mathcal{W}(0, T) \\ - \langle u_n, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi + \int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \phi \\ + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) u_n \phi + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) \phi = \int_{Q_T} f \phi \\ \forall \phi \in \mathcal{W}(0, T). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Since G_n is bounded then we can applied the result of the Theorem 3.1 to obtain the existence of u_n a weak periodic solution of the approximate problem (11). We want to pass to the limit in (11), for which we need to prove some a priori estimates, we start by defining the truncation function that will be used after, for all $k > 0$, $T_k(s) = \min\{k, \max\{s, -k\}\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that (A_1) - (A_6) hold, then*

i) *There exists a constant C_1 independent of n such that*

$$\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq C_1.$$

ii) There exists a constant C_2 depending only on T , Ω and $\|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$ such that

$$\int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \leq C_2.$$

iii) There exists a constant C_3 independent of n such that

$$\int_{Q_T} |G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| \leq C_3.$$

Proof. i) By taking $\phi = u_n$ as a test function in (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} - \langle u_n, \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) |u_n|^2 \\ + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u_n = \int_{Q_T} f u_n \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (A_3) , (A_5) and the periodicity, we have

$$\int_{Q_T} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_T} \beta(t, x) |u_n|^2 \leq \int_{Q_T} |f u_n|$$

Using Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq C(\epsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \epsilon \|u_n\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)}^2 + \epsilon C(T, \Omega) \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

we choose ϵ small enough to obtain (u_n) bounded in \mathcal{V} .

ii) Setting $\phi = u_n$ as test function in (11), we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u_n = - \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 + \langle u_n, \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \rangle + \int_{Q_T} f u_n$$

we use the periodicity of u_n , (i) and the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n + \int_{\Sigma_T} g(t, x, u_n) u_n \leq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \|u_n\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + C_1$$

From the hypothesis (A_2) and the result of (i), we have

$$\int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n \leq C_2$$

iii) We remark that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T} |G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx dt &= \int_{Q_T \cap [|u_n| \geq 1]} |G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_T \cap [|u_n| \leq 1]} |G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx dt \end{aligned}$$

From (ii), we have

$$\int_{Q_T \cap [|u_n| \geq 1]} |G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx dt \leq \int_{Q_T} G_n(t, x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dx dt \leq C_2$$