



The 15th ANNUAL MEETING
"DURABLE AGRICULTURE – AGRICULTURE OF THE FUTURE"



Organisers:

**UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA - FACULTY OF AGRONOMY
AND
EGE UNIVERSITY - FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
IN COLLABORATION WITH
AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY SCIENCES ACADEMY
"GHEORGHE IONESCU ȘIȘEȘTI"**

Particular focus of the conference:

**"ADVANCED METHODS FOR A SUSTAINABLE
AGRICULTURE, SILVICULTURE, CADASTRE AND FOOD SCIENCE"**

VOL. XLIX/2/2019

**ISSN 1841-8317
ISSN CD-ROM 2066-950X**

7th-8th NOVEMBER 2019

**CRAIOVA
ROMANIA**

Editorial Board

Professor Cezar Ionuț SPÎNU, PhD., Rector of University of Craiova

Professor Aurel CĂLINA, PhD., Vice-Rector, University of Craiova, Faculty of Agronomy

Professor Tudor ALEXANDRU, PhD., University of Craiova, Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor associate Mariana NICULESCU, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor associate Sorin Petruț BORUZ, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor associate Mugurel COLĂ, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor asociate, Liviu Aurel OLARU, PhD., University of Craiova, Faculty of Agronomy

Editorial Review Board

Professor Aurel CĂLINA, PhD., Vice-Rector, University of Craiova Faculty of Agronomy

Professor Tudor ALEXANDRU, PhD., University of Craiova, Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor associate Mariana NICULESCU, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy

Professor Multiple Dr. H. C. Stefano GREGO, World Agricultural Heritage Foundation - Chairman of Scientific Committee, Deputy Director of CIRPS, Italy

Prof. dr. Necdet BUDAK, Rector of the Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

Prof. dr. habil. Ralph MAISSNER, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

Prof. dr. Jörg RINKLEBE, University of Wuppertal, Germany

Prof. dr. eng. Cătălin Aurelian ROȘCULETE, University of the Craiova

Prof. dr. Belgin SÜSLEYİCİ, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Professor Vlado LICINA, PhD, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, President of ESNA

Prof. assoc. dr. Iurie MELNIC, First Vice-rector, State Agrarian University of Moldova

Prof. dr. Andrzej SECHMAN, Dean of the Agriculture Faculty, University of Agriculture, Krakow, Poland

Prof. dr. Mustafa ÜMIT ÜNAL, University of Çukurova, Faculty of Agriculture, Adana, Turkey

Prof dr. Mustafa BOLCA, Dean of the Ege University, Agricultural Faculty, Izmir, Turkey

Prof. dr. Burçin ÇOKUYSAL, Vice-Dean, Ege University, Agricultural Faculty, Izmir, Turkey

Prof. asst. dr. Aysun ŞENER, Adana Science and Technology University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Turkey

Prof. assoc. dr. Nersin ÖRÇEN, Ege University, Agricultural Faculty, Izmir, Turkey

Dr. Agnieszka LISOWSKA-LIS, State Higher Vocational School in Tarnow, Poland

Professor, Markovic NEBOJSA, PhD., Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Doc. Dr. Zoran PRŽIĆ ZORAN, University of Belgrad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. asst. dr. Marcin W. LIS, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Poland

Professor Marius VLADU, PhD., Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova

Dr. Selin Yabaci KARAOĞLAN, Adana Science and Technology University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Turkey

Professor asst. dr. M. Cuneýt BAGDATLI, University o Nevsehir Haci Bektas Veli Engineering and Architecture Faculty, Department of Biosystem Engineering, Turkey

Associate Prof. dr. Monica BOSCAIU, Department of Agroforest Ecosystems,

Polytechnic University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

Prof. dr. Oscar VICENTE, Department of Biotechnology, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

Prof. dr. Banu YÜCEL, Vice-Dean of the Agricultural Faculty, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

Prof. dr. Eftal DÜZYAMAN, Vice-Dean of the Agricultural Faculty, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

Prof. dr. Ahmet D. DUMAN, Mustafa Kemal University, Faculty of Agriculture, Antakya, Hatay/Turkey

Prof. dr. Ion SĂRĂCIN, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova

Prof. dr. eng. Mircea MIHALACHE, Vice-Rector of USAMV Bucuresti, Romania

Professor asociate Sorin Petruț BORUZ, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy
Professor asociate Mugurel COLĂ, PhD., University of Craiova, Vice-Dean of the Faculty of Agronomy
Professor asociate, PhD. Liviu Aurel OLARU, University of Craiova, Faculty of Agronomy
Professor asociate LIDIA MISHEVA, PhD.- Institute of Soil Science, Sofia, Bulgaria
Professor Gheorghe SIN, PhD., President of the Agricultural and Forestry Sciences Academy "Gheorghe Ionescu Șișești", Romania
Professor Mircea MIHALACHE, PhD., U.S.A.M.V. București, Faculty of Agriculture
Professor asociate Jenica CĂLINA, PhD., Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Professor asociate Dumitru ILIE, PhD., University of Craiova, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Professor asociate IMBREA FLORIN, PhD., Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "Regele Mihai I al României" of Timisoara, Romania
Professor asociate Marius FĂGĂRAȘ, PhD., "Ovidius" University of Constanța, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Agricultural Sciences
Dr. Valentin Nicolae VLĂDUȚ, INMA București
Professor asociate Mihai CICHÎ, PhD., Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Professor asociate Mihnea GLODEANU, PhD., Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Prof. assoc. dr. eng. Elena ROȘCULETE, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Prof. assoc. dr. eng. Dorina BONEA, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Prof. assoc. dr. habil., Roxana Maria BĂDÎRCEA, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, University of Craiova, Romania
Prof. assoc. dr. eng. Emilia CONSTANTINESCU, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Junior Lecturer Alexandru SĂRARU, PhD., Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova
Junior Lecturer dr. eng. Florin Dorian COJOACĂ, Faculty of Agronomy, University of Craiova

Secretary

Junior Lecturer Marius MILUT, PhD., University of Craiova, Faculty of Agronomy
Junior Lecturer Dragos MEDELETE, PhD., University of Craiova, Faculty of Agronomy

CURRENT WORKING TECHNOLOGIES FOR DRAFTING FOREST MANAGEMENT PLANS

**ACHIM FLORIN¹, PĂUNESCU SILVIU¹, COJOACĂ FLORIN-DORIAN¹,
LAZĂR GABRIEL¹, ZANOCEA PETRE¹, BUZATU CRINU¹, RĂDUCU RĂZVAN¹**

¹National Institute for Research and Development in Forestry „Marin Drăcea“
Corresponding author email: f_cojoaca@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This paper identifies the technology and working methods used to develop drafts of forest management plans, with the required activities – executed using modern technologies and existing equipment – being indicated. Each operation in the drafting is described in detail, including the working technologies, organisation of the workplaces, training units, measurement units, instruments and equipment, working conditions with specific influence factors, and elements that contribute to the updating of working methodologies in the drafting and increase labour productivity.

Keywords: *time rule, production norm, forest management planning, forest management plans*

Keywords: *thinnings, optimal structure, function, forest management objective*

INTRODUCTION

In the last three decades, major changes have been made in forest management planning that have been closely linked to developments recorded across several areas, especially calculation techniques, the theory of relational databases, database management systems, geographic information systems (GISs) and the evolution of equipment, software, etc.

The entire process of data collection and the development of forest management plans is part of a normal process of adapting to the realities of the moment and removing novel elements from various fields of activity.

For the processing of field data, specific software products have been developed and adapted in tandem with developments in computing techniques. In 1992, an informatics product – AS – Forest management plans version 3.3 – was developed, which carried out the computer-aided design of forest management on IBM PC-compatible computers. It was configured in MS-DOS version 4.1, which could be used on a

personal computer. This was a redesign of the previous version (3.2) that could be used on a Junior microcomputer (Seceleanu, 1992).

This product was used as long as it was possible to operate using existing operating systems (from 1992 to 2005), up to the appearance of Windows 95. When the operating system became incompatible with the forest management planning software, the software had to be redesigned to be compatible with the operating systems existing after 2005. As a consequence, the informatics product AS2007 – Forest management plans was produced, developed for Visual Fox Pro. This was compatible with Windows 95 through Windows Vista (Lazar et al., 2009). The facilities provided by this new software enabled a developed database to be obtained, which could contain a greater number of reports, with the direct consequence of shortening the period of development of forestry management plans.

Also, GIS studies based on accumulated knowledge have, since 2001, enabled the archiving of GIS databases in forest management plans; the first plan

developed using GIS was in Brănești Forest District (FD). The forestry maps obtained using the GIS technique for the plan were made using digitisation in Autocad MAP, the topologies used ArcInfo Workstation and the GIS side using ArcView 3.1 C512 (Achim, 2013). The GIS database included spatial information taken from the maps used in forest management and descriptive information taken from the field.

The implementation of GIS in forest management planning has provided several benefits, including reducing the time needed to produce and update the maps, reducing the time involved in updating the database, obtaining accurate and standardised information and allowing quick access to information.

Based on these technology-influencing aspects, we here present the current working technology for developing forest management plans, which has replaced the classic technology used before the introduction of GIS techniques.

The need to identify the existing operations results from the need to update time and production norms, which have direct implications for increasing productivity in the management planning stage.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

In order to identify the activities carried out by personnel during the drafting of forest management plans, and to study the work time in such a way that the recorded data would lead to the development of time and production norms for developing forest management plans, it was necessary to use appropriate technical methods. Thus, for the

identification of activities related to this phase, observation and photography of the working day were employed. The photography involved two steps – preparation and photograph-taking.

The preparation involved choosing the workers about whom the observations were to be made, and explaining to them the purpose and importance of the observations. They had to work at a normal pace, following their working methodology, and complete a working conditions sheet. The photography involved recording an entire activity being performed by the chosen worker during regular work hours.

Each activity was recorded on photograph sheets, using a clock and a timer to record the times and duration of each work element.

The observations were made, using the subunits of the National Institute for Research and Development in Forestry 'Marin Drăcea', in Brașov, Craiova, Bistrița, Roman, Timisoara, Pitesti and Oradea (Fig. 1).

In all the subunits of the institute, there are specialised, collective workers who carry out forest planning, and it was intended that all of them would be involved in the implementation of the project. In this way, the representativeness of the results was ensured at the national level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Eight operations were identified in the activity of drafting forest management plans, as compared to nine (according to the previous procedures that existed before implementing the GIS system) (Table 1).



Fig. 1 Subunits of the National Institute for Research and Development in Forestry ‘Marin Drăcea’

Table 1

Operations identified for drafting forest management plans (numbered points in the second column are referred to in the text below by their numbers)

Classic writing, work operations	Current writing, work operations	
Drafting forest management plans	Drafting forest management plans	Works using GIS techniques
1. Graphical reports, classic technology	1. Graphical reports, modern (digital) technology	
2. Cubic calculations, classic technology, manual calculations or dedicated software	2. Cubic calculations, modern technology, dedicated software	
3. Transposition the parcel and subparcel from the old plans to the new ones using photography or photocopying	3. Transposition the parcel and subparcel from the old plans to the new ones using scanning and vectorising	
4. Assemble reports on the basic plans using classic methodology	4. Assemble reports on the basic plans using modern (digital) methodology	

5. Classical method using a planimeter	-	Analytical determination of surfaces
6. Draw the old map, classically	-	Develop maps using GIS techniques
7. Automated data processing using the AS3 – Forestry software product	5. Automated data processing using the AS2009 –Forestry software product	
8. Draft the forest management plan on Yield Management Unit (YMU), write the manuscript	6. Preparation for the second Conference on Forest Planning	
	7. Draft the forest management plans on YMU, write on PC	
9. Guidance for, and reception and approval of, the forest management plan draft	8. Guidance for, and reception and approval of, the forest management plan draft	

Below are brief descriptions of each identified operation, and the differences between the classic technology and that used after the implementation of GIS.

1. Graphical reports are currently produced in the office by a technician or engineer, using GPS equipment, a PC computer and printer. The unit of measurement is 1000 reported points. In the classic system of drafting forest management plans, the graphical reports were produced by a designer or technical designer, using a spacer, a rapporteur, millimetre paper and calc paper, and the unit of measurement was also 1000 reported points (Ministry of Forest Waters and Environmental Protection - MFWE, 1999). The current working technology is radically different and improved due to changes in field data collection equipment and the replacement of topographic compasses with GPS technology.

Observations for the graphical reports were made in 19 forestry

areas/experimental bases (Table 2), where:

- the production units (YMU) had different surface sizes (from 935.3 ha to 6352.65 ha);
- the layout units had different surface sizes, to illustrate the diversity of situations;
- the YMU in which a variable number of field measurements were carried out also captured the quantity of work performed per territorial unit;
- various specialised software packages, compatible with the GPS equipment, were used for the measurements;
- topographic measurements were made using Trimble and Garmin GPS devices.

Table 2

Elements of YMU characterisation observed for the graphical reports

No	INCDS (NIRDF)	Observed data from forest district's forest management plans								
		Forest district/	Yield management unit (no.) (UP)	Surface of Yield management unit (ha)	No. forest management units (ua)/Yield management unit (UP)	Surface of average forest management unit /PU	No. of measured and reported points	No. of measured ua's	Tip of device used for measurement	Software
1	Braşov	Maneciu	IV	3274,18	321	10,2	1082	246	Garmin 64S	Softuri specializate
2	Braşov	Azuga	I	935,3	190	4,92	1300	152	Garmin 64S	Softuri specializate
3	Braşov	Făgăras	II	3115,09	365	8,53	1440	107	Garmin 78S	Softuri specializate
4	Bistriţa	Mălini	I	2951,52	209	14,12	1855	78	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
5	Bistriţa	Cluj	III	935,61	193	4,85	4260	132	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
6	Bistriţa	Dragomireşti	II	3696,78	624	5,92	6030	151	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
7	Craiova	Orşova	I	2245,88	254	8,84	1986	180	Garmin	Softuri specializate
8	Craiova	Orşova	V	4339,25	348	12,47	2294	210	Trimble	Softuri specializate
9	Craiova	Orşova	VI	3144,54	295	10,66	2302	190	Garmin	Softuri specializate
10	Craiova	Drăgăneşti Olt	I	1084,6	498	2,18	910	323	Trimble	Softuri specializate
11	Craiova	Drăgăneşti Olt	II	1323,91	455	2,91	956	315	Trimble	Softuri specializate
12	Oradea	Dobreşti	VI	1211,82	307	3,95	304	16	Garmin	Softuri specializate
13	Oradea	Târgu Lăpuş	I	2381,98	763	3,12	7928	426	Garmin	Softuri specializate
14	Oradea	Ilia	II	2665,93	617	4,32	1908	96	Garmin	Softuri specializate
15	Roman	Brăila	IX	704,2	132	5,33	728	32	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
16	Roman	Bărlad	I	1228,57	415	2,94	2200	88	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
17	Roman	Tomnatic	I	6352,65	728	8,75	5380	269	GPS Garmin	Softuri specializate
18	Timișoara	Păltiniș	I	2812,54	372	7,56	2423	372	Garmin Montana 650	Softuri specializate
19	Timișoara	Valea Mare	II	2290,98	288	7,95	3781	288	Garmin Montana 650	Softuri specializate
20	Pitești	Rusca	XIII	1217,02	370	3,29	1225	185	GPS Montana	Softuri specializate
21	Pitești	Amaradia	III	3647,26	689	5,29	1382	50	GPS Montana	Softuri specializate
22	Pitești	Curtea de Arges	IV	1683,83	407	4,14	1123	47	GPS Montana	Softuri specializate
	Total			43513,8	8840		52797	3953		

2. **Cubic calculations** are performed in the office by a designer (technician or engineer) using a PC, specialised software, a field notebook, a

notebook with the centralisation of the sheet points and a printer. The unit of measurement used is the number of development units. In the classic system of

drafting forest management plans, cubic calculations were performed manually by a technician, using a field notebook, a notebook with the centralization of the sheet points and a sheet of cubic calculations. The unit of measurement was also the number of development units (MFWEP, 1999). The technology has been much improved by using specialised software.

Observations for the cubic calculations were made in 19 forestry areas/experimental bases (Table 3), and:

- the observations were made in 21 YMUs in different geomorphological areas of the country;
- the observations were carried out in forestry units where the trees were

- inventoried by statistical land integral procedures (wire by wire);
- the stands comprised a variable number (1–5) of species, so that the influencing factors having a significant impact on the calculation of the time and production norms could be identified, analysed and selected;
- forestry units made up of a variable number of trees were analysed;
- a single specialised software was used for the automated calculation of the volumes of the inventoried trees;
- observations were made on a total of 704 stands, with the total number above 420000 trees.

Table 3

Elements characterising the YMUs observed for use in the cubic calculations

No	INCDS (NIRDF)	Forest district/	Observed data from forest district's forest management plans								No. of forest management units that were subject to observations (photographed)
			Yield management unit (no.) (UP)	Surface of Yield management unit (ha)	No. forest management units / Yield management unit	Average area of forest management unit (ha)	No. of inventoried stands / Yield management unit	No. tree species / inventoried forest management units and in which observations were made	No. trees / inventoried forest management units and in which observations were made	IT product (software) used for volume calculations	
1	Braşov	Azuga	VI	2070,7	205	10,1	13	1-2	40-500	Program cubaj specializat	13
2	Braşov	Maneciu	V	924,18	135	6,85	29	1-2	200-400	Program cubaj specializat	29
3	Braşov	Făgăras	II	3115,09	365	8,53	35	1-3	100-1200	Program cubaj specializat	35
4	Bistriţa	Mălini	I	2951,52	209	14,12	31	4	29820	Program cubaj specializat	31
5	Bistriţa	Cluj	III	935,61	193	4,85	16	9	18768	Program cubaj specializat	16
6	Bistriţa	Dragomireşti	II	3696,78	624	5,92	71	9	25970	Program cubaj specializat	71
7	Craiova	Orşova	III	3536,23	646	5,474	54	1	158	Program cubaj specializat	54
								2	8072		
								3	14568		
								4	18636		
								5	1443		
8	Craiova	Orşova	VII	3534,34	318	11,114	37	1	389		37
								2	5810		