EDITORIAL STAFF

Assoc. Prof. Mirela Maria Vasilescu - Editor-in-Chief
Assoc. Prof. Anca Ionescu - Scientific Editor

EDITORIAL BOARD
Apostol Adela - University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila, Bucharest, Romania
Busneag Răzvan – National Institute of Sports Medicine, Bucharest, Romania
Virgil Mazilu – National Institute of Sports Medicine, Bucharest, Romania
Georgescu Mariana - National Institute of Sports Medicine, Bucharest, Romania
Panait Gabriel – Sports Medicine Department, Vitan Policlinic, Bucharest, Romania
Popescu Alin – Rugby Romanian Federation, Bucharest, Romania

SCIENTIFIC REVIEW BOARD
Avramescu Taina Elena - University of Craiova, Romania
Berteanu Mihai - University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Rehabilitation Department, Romania
Bas Aslan Ummuhan – Pamukkale University, Denzli, Turkey
Branzaniuc Klara – University of Medicine, Tg Mures, Anatomy Department, Romania
Cavlak Ugur - Pamukkale University, School of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Denzli, Turkey
Derevenco Petru - Romanian Academy of Medical Sciences, Romania
Dikic Nenad - Anti-Doping Agency of Serbia, Sports Academy, Beograd, Serbia
Dimitrova Diana – Sports Medicine Department, National Sports Academy, Sofia, Bulgaria
Drosescu Paula – University A.I.Cuza, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Iasi, Romania
Emin Ergen – University of Medicine, Ankara, EFSMA Executive Board, FIMS Executive Board, Turkey
Gamze Ekici - Ahi Evran University, School of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation, Kirsehie, Turkey
Georgescu Luminita - University of Pitesti, Romania
Kürsad Serbaş – Sakarya University, Physical Education and Sport Department, Turkey
Mazzeo Filomena - Parthenope University, Naples, Italy
Nastsis Konstantinos - Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
Nestianu Valeriu - Romanian Academy of Medical Sciences, Romania
Nica Adriana – University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania
Oravitan Mihaela – West University of Timisoara, Romania
Rusu Ligia - University of Craiova, Romania
Rosulescu Eugenia - University of Craiova, Romania
Domenico Tafuri - Parthenope University, Naples, Italy
ISSN 1841-0162
Publisher “Universitaria”, Craiova, Romania

Editorial Office Address: University of Craiova, Physical Education and Sports Faculty, Brestei 156, 200177, Craiova, Romania

Site adress: http://www.medicinasportiva.ro

Technical editor
Eng. Aurora Beldiman (University of Craiova)
GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Medicina Sportiva, a publication of Romanian Sports Medicine Society, is a quarterly, peer reviewed journal which publishes original research, reviews and case reports on all areas of sports medicine and exercise science (exercise physiology, sports biomechanics, sports biochemistry, sports nutrition, diseases and exercise, sports injuries and sports traumatology, kinesiology and kinetotherapy).

The journal includes editorials, reviews, original papers, clinical studies, news in sport medicine and exercise science. Medicina Sportiva is currently indexed

Manuscript Preparation

All manuscripts must be submitted in English. Manuscripts are considered if they are submitted only to Medicina Sportiva and therefore should not be under consideration for publication elsewhere, either in part or in whole. Only the authors are responsible for the scientific context and legal aspects of the articles.

Reviews include theoretical and practical aspects that must to be report to actual researches in sport medicine.

Size: the article must to have no more than 12 pages. Format: the text must to be compact and can has few subtitles.

Original papers include fundamentals research and clinical research regarding diagnostic and treatment.

Size: 8-10 pages, 4-5 imagining documents (graphics, images, etc.). Format: the text must to be structure in introduction (present stage of the research), aim and scope of study, material and method, results, discussion, conclusions (must to present personal conclusions).

Case reports include specific clinical cases that provide relevant information on diagnosis and therapy of a particular case that proves unique to clinical sports medicine.


Organization of the manuscript

Each manuscript should follow the sequence:

Title
Authors and affiliation
Abstract and Keywords
Main text (Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion)
Acknowledgements,
References
Corresponding author
Authors’ details

Text style of the manuscript

All manuscripts must to be edited in MS Word:

- page setup format A4, all margins at 2cm on all sides, single line spacing;
- font style Times New Roman, without capital letter,
- size:
  14 for title (bold),
  12 for authors (regular),
  12 for affiliation (italic)
  10 for abstract (regular),
  10 for key words (italic),
  11 for main text and (regular),
  10 for references (regular, except the title of books and journals which will be written italic);
- paragraph:
  indentation = 0,
  alignment left for title, authors, affiliation, key words and justified for abstract, main text, acknowledgements and references;
- line spacing = single, column = one.

Tables, Figures and Schemes are to be typed on separate pages at the end of the manuscript. Ensure that each illustration or table has a caption attached, which should comprise a brief title and a description. Explain all symbols and abbreviations used. Units of measurement, symbols and abbreviations must conform to international standards. Do not imbed figures or tables into the text.
The tables, figures and schemes must be in the final published size, not oversized. Illustrations and tables that have appeared elsewhere must be accompanied by written permission to reproduce them from the original publishers. This is necessary even if you are an author of the borrowed material. Borrowed material should be acknowledged in the captions in the exact wording required by the copyright holder. If not specified, use this style: “Reproduced by kind permission of . . . (publishers) from . . . (reference)”. Identifiable clinical photographs must be accompanied by written permission from the patient.

The first time an abbreviation appears in the text, it should be preceded by the words for which it stands.

**Title:** should be simple, concise and informative. Capitalize the first word only.

**Author names** should appear as used for conventional publication, with first and middle names or initials followed by surname. If multiple authors have the same affiliation, one listing of the affiliation should be used, preceded by the full list of those authors on the line above.

**Abstract:** begin the section with the word Abstract in bold font. The authors prepare a structured abstract of not more than 300 words. The abstract should be an explicit summary of the paper and must include (at least) four headings: aim, methods, results, conclusion.

**Key words.** Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 3–6 keywords. Key words should refer to the terms from Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) of MEDLINE/PubMed.

**Acknowledgments:** Acknowledgments should be included before the References section.

**References:** in the text identify references by Arabic numeral in brackets, using Oxford system. The list of references should include only those publications which are cited in the text. References should be presented in consecutive order as they are cited in the text. References should be cited in the text by placing sequential numbers in brackets (for example, (1), (3-5)). The four six authors should be presented. No more than 30 references will be accepted.

Examples:

a) Journals: name of authors, initial of surname, years of publish in brackets, title of paper, title of periodical (italic), volume, page (Ryder, JW, Bassel Duby R, Olson EN, Zierath, JR (2003). Skeletal muscle reprogramming by activation of calcineurin improves insulin action on metabolic pathways. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 298 304);


c) Proceedings: name of authors, initial of surname, years of publish in brackets, title of paper, title of periodical (italic), editors, city, pages.

**Corresponding author** should contain all information necessary for an effective correspondence (postal addresses, e-mail, fax and telephone number).

**Authors’ details**

It must include a brief biography, approximately 70 words per author, for each author including academic title/degrees, institution affiliation, research focus, e-mail address.

**Ethical standards**

Research carried out on humans must be in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration, adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964 and any experimental research on animals must follow internationally recognized guidelines.

By submitting a manuscript to our journal, each author explicitly confirms that the manuscript meets the highest ethical standards for authors and coauthors. The manuscript should contain a statement that has been approved by the appropriate ethical committees related to the institution(s) in which it was performed and that subjects gave informed consent to the work.

Studies involving experiments with animals must state that their care was in accordance with institution guidelines. Patients' and volunteers' names, initials, and hospital numbers should not be used. In a case report, the subject's written consent should be provided. It is the author's responsibility to ensure all appropriate consents have been obtained. Patients have a right to privacy. Therefore identifying information, including patients images, names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be included in videos, recordings, written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and you have obtained written informed consent for publication in print and electronic form from the patient (or parent, guardian or next of kin where applicable). If such consent is made subject to any conditions,
Universitaria Publisher must be made aware of all such conditions. Written consents must be provided to Universitaria Publisher on request. Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note. If such consent has not been obtained, personal details of patients included in any part of the paper and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

Copyright agreement
Manuscripts are welcomed from all countries, and may be submitted for consideration on the understanding that they have not been previously published elsewhere, either in print or electronically, and that they are not under consideration by any other publisher. Authors wishing to include figures or text passages that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright holder(s) and to include evidence that such permission has been granted when submitting their papers. Authors are required to ensure that no material submitted as part of a manuscript infringes existing copyrights, or the rights of a third party. The author who submits the manuscript for publication should ensure that all coauthors are included on the paper, and that all coauthors have seen the final version of the article and have agreed to its submission for publication. Only, the authors are responsible for the scientific context and legal aspects of publishing the manuscript. Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors. The authors are responsible for obtaining permission from copyright holders for reproducing any illustrations, tables, figures or lengthy quotations previously published elsewhere. Articles published in Medicina Sportiva may not be published elsewhere without written permission from the publisher.

The Editorial and Peer Review Process
All papers submitted to Medicina Sportiva are subject to peer review. Manuscripts must not be under simultaneous consideration by any other publication, before or during the peer-review process. Upon receipt of a manuscript the editor will notify the corresponding author that the submission has been received. Received manuscripts are first examined by the Medicina Sportiva editors. Manuscripts with insufficient priority for publication are rejected. Manuscripts not prepared in the advised style will be sent back to authors without scientific review. The manuscript will undergo a blinded review process. Under this process the editor will forward (electronically) the manuscript to two independent reviewers, members of the Scientific Board. The editors encourage authors to suggest the names of potential reviewers, but they reserve the right of final selection. Normally the review process will take approximately 8 weeks. After the review process has been completed the main author will be notified via e-mail either of acceptance, revision or rejection of the manuscript. If revision was recommended, this should be completed and returned to the editor within 2 weeks. Editors may make necessary changes to articles in accordance with guidelines for authors.

Authors' rights
As an author you (or your employer or institution) may do the following:
• make copies (electronic) of the article for your own personal use, including for your own classroom teaching use
• present the article at a meeting or conference and to distribute copies of the article to the delegates attending such a meeting
• for your employer, if the article is a “work for hire”, made within the scope of your employment, your employer may use all or part of the information in the article for other intra-company use (e.g., training)
• retain patent and trademark rights and rights to any processes or procedure described in the article
• include the article in full or in part in a thesis or dissertation (provided that this is not to be published commercially)
• use the article or any part thereof in a printed compilation of your works, such as collected writings or lecture notes (subsequent to publication of your article in the journal)
• prepare other derivative works, to extend the article into book-length form, or to otherwise re-use portions or excerpts in other works, with full acknowledgement of its original publication in the journal.

Submission of manuscripts
Authors are encouraged to submit their manuscripts via e-mail to editors, by e-mail medsprt@yahoo.com
Copyright Transfer Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article title</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article authors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full name of the corresponding author</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The copyright to this article is transferred to MEDICINA SPORTIVA (ISSN 1841-0162) if and when the article is accepted for publication.

The undersigned hereby transfers any and all rights in and to the paper including without limitation all copyrights to MEDICINA SPORTIVA.

The authors of this article declare that:
1. are responsible for the scientific context and legal aspects of the articles.
2. this manuscript has not been published in the same form elsewhere.
3. it will not be submitted anywhere else for publication before or during the peer-review process, acceptance or rejection by the journal MEDICINA SPORTIVA.
4. are responsible for obtaining permission from copyright holders for reproducing any illustrations, tables, figures or lengthy quotations previously published elsewhere.
5. the article published in Medicina Sportiva may not be published elsewhere without written permission from the publisher.
6. transfer the unlimited rights of publication of the above mentioned paper in whole to the journal MEDICINA SPORTIVA.
7. the copyright transfer covers the exclusive right to reproduce and distribute the article in all the formats.
The corresponding author signs for and accepts responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of any and all co-authors.

After submission of this agreement signed by the corresponding author, any changes of the authors list will not be accepted.

Date: Correspoinding author:
Is intermittent fasting a scientifically-based dietary method?

Adela Caramoci¹, Brandusa Mitoiu², Mirela Pop³, Virgil Mazilu⁴, *Mirela Vasilescu⁵, Anca Mirela Ionescu¹, Rosulescu Eugenia⁵

¹Sports Medicine Department, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila, Bucharest, Romania
²Medical Rehabilitation Department, University of Medicine and Pharmacy Carol Davila, Bucharest
³Mirmed-Aslan Clinic, Bucharest
⁴National Institute of Sports Medicine, Bucharest
⁵Sports Medicine and Kinesiotherapy Department, University of Craiova, Craiova, Romania

Abstract
Overfeeding seriously affects human mortality and morbidity and therefore weight loss represents an important tool to improve health and enhance the quality of life. Caloric restriction could ameliorate the health status in both obese and normal weight individuals and is one of the few methods known to prolong life span. The principle of daily caloric restriction is difficult to implement in practice. Intermittent fasting has been intended as a solution that takes advantage of the caloric restriction positive effects, but does not have its side effects. More and more studies support the idea that intermittent fasting and continuous caloric restriction activate the same biological mechanisms. This article is trying to summarize the scientific facts about the intermittent fasting and also the benefits, efficacy, indications and side effects of this dietary regimen.

Key words: intermittent fasting, caloric restriction, weight loss.

Introduction
Intermittent fasting (IF) is an umbrella term used to describe various dietary regimens that cycle between a period of non-fasting and a period (long or short) of total fasting or severe caloric restriction. The protocols of these regimens vary from a 24-hour fasting period, followed by a 24-hour non-fasting period (alternate day fasting - ADF), repeated 2-3 times per week (5:2 or 4:3 diet) to a daily schedule that includes a nocturnal fasting period of 16/18/20 hours and a non-fasting single interval of 8/6/4 hours (this protocol implies the reduction of daily meal frequency). This type of diet not only promotes weight loss, but also has a positive impact on various parameters associated with health status and life span (1).

In this article, we will try to summarize the scientific proves that supports intermittent fasting and also the efficiency, benefits, indications and side effects of this dietary regimen.

Benefits of intermittent fasting versus continuous caloric restriction
Since overfeeding seriously affects human morbidity and mortality, body weight reduction represents an important tool for improving the health status of population. Caloric restriction (CR), by promoting weight loss, represents a dietary method that has numerous positive effects in obese individuals (2). But caloric restriction could also improve the health status of normal weight people (3) being one of the few methods known to prolong life span (4-6). The reduction of caloric intake to 60-70% of the daily requirements increases longevity by 30-50% in many animal species (6).

There have been proposed a couple of hypotheses, supported by studies performed in rodents and monkeys, to explain the cellular mechanism by which caloric restriction improves life expectancy and has a protective
role in some pathological conditions. One of the hypotheses claimed that the modification of energy metabolism was responsible for these effects (7).

Another hypothesis argues that caloric restriction, by reducing the mitochondrial production of oxygen radicals, decreases the oxidative stress and therefore the damage produced by accumulation of these reactive species to proteins, lipids and nucleic acids (8).

Although the reduction of caloric intake has a positive effect on longevity, it is difficult to be adopted as a part of daily routine. Intermittent fasting has been intended as a solution that takes advantage of the caloric restriction benefits, but does not have its side effects. More and more studies supports the idea that intermittent fasting and continuous caloric restriction activate the same biological mechanisms (9).

In 2006, Johnson, Laub and John published an article in Medical Hypothesis journal (10) in which they claimed that alternate day fasting (20-50% of the recommended daily intake – RDI - administered in one day, followed by ad libitum feeding in the next day), applied to a group of 500 subjects, over a period of 2 years and a half, had positive effects in many pathological conditions, from resistance to insulin to autoimmune diseases. They considered that alternate day fasting (ADF) is not only a practical alternative to obtain the benefits shown by the daily caloric restriction, but also an effective method to maintain an optimal body weight. In support of their results, these authors presented a reinterpretation of one of the first studies, published in 1956, regarding the positive role of caloric restriction on human body health (11). According to these authors, the subjects of the study didn’t follow a real caloric restriction, but an ADF-type dietary regimen (900kcal in one day and 2300 kcal in the next day). In the conclusion of their article, authors recommended the ADF protocol as a method that generates the positive effects of caloric restriction, but does not subject the body to chronic nutritional deprivation.

Two studies published in 2013 showed that the women who followed an intermittent fasting-type caloric restriction, in which on 2 nonsuccessive days, each week, the caloric intake was reduced to 500-600 calories, while in the remaining days of the week the caloric intake was unrestricted (“5:2 diet”), lost roughly the same weight as the group that had a uniform caloric restriction and received 75% of the usual caloric intake. Furthermore, the study group that followed an intermittent fasting recorded a greater reduction of the abdominal fat and an additional improvement in insulin sensitivity (12, 13).

In a 2016 study, Carter, Clifton and Keogh compared the results of an intermittent fasting-type diet (caloric intake limited to 1670-2500 kJ/day, on 2 nonsuccessive days per week), and a daily uniform caloric restriction diet (5000-6500 kJ/day) in 63 overweight or obese subjects, over a period of 12 weeks. Conclusion of this study was that both types of diets have similar effects in terms of weight loss, body composition modification and subjective hunger sensation (14).

It is interesting to note that, unlike the uniform caloric restriction, which produces loss of muscle mass and adipose tissue, intermittent caloric restriction reduces the adipose tissue, but preserves the muscle mass both in the human and animal subjects that followed this type of diet (12, 15, 16).

A systematic analysis of the research studies published by PubMed until 2016 has concluded that alternate day fasting (ADF) was equally effective or even superior to a daily caloric restriction diet, since it had a better compliance and produced a greater reduction of adipose tissue, without a loss of muscle mass (17). In trying to elucidate the physiological mechanisms by which an intermittent fasting-type diet could generate weight loss even in the absence of a general caloric deficit, a study in mice has shown that this type of diet produces alterations of norepinephrine and neuropeptide Y in hypothalamus (18).

More and more studies have reported the fact that both intermittent and continuous caloric restriction induced many positive metabolic modifications, and not only a reduction of the body mass or adipose tissue (19, 16). The studies concerning intermittent fasting have shown the improvement of many health indicators (e.g., a reduction of insulin resistance, a decreased risk of cardiovascular diseases), both in overweight and normal weight persons (20). An alternate-type dietary regimen, in which fasting days alternate with nonfasting days (with ad libitum feeding), has produced in mice weight loss and an improvement of glucose tolerance even when the diet in nonfasting days had an increased fat content (21).

Also in human subjects, an ADF-type dietary regimen, characterized by a high fat content in nonfasting days, has proved to be equally effective to a similar diet, but with a low fat content, in reducing body weight and decreasing cardiovascular risk (22). Although adding a low-fat diet to an ADF-type dietary regimen produces a more significant decrease in plasma level of free fatty acids, a high-fat diet does not prevent the waist reduction and a decrease of plasma free fatty acids as induced by intermittent fasting (23) and also has similar effects on improving the size and distribution of LDL particles (24).
But the benefits of alternative fasting go beyond weight loss and improvement of metabolic profile. Well-documented animal studies have shown that intermittent caloric restriction can increase longevity in different animal species (25) by slowing the degenerative processes that play a role in cardiovascular diseases (26-29) diabetes (16, 30) tumors (31, 32) or neurodegenerative diseases (16, 33-35). The way in which the ADF-type diet exerts a protective role in coronary heart disease seems to be linked to both the modification of body composition (waist reduction, decrease of adipose tissue percentage) and metabolic and hormonal improvements (elevation of adiponectin, reduction of leptin, decrease of LDL without significant modification of HDL) (36-38).

The mechanism of neuronal protection against oxidative and metabolic stress in caloric restriction, which involves a neurotrophic factor (39), slows neuronal degeneration and improves the outcome in Parkinson’s disease (40), Huntington’s disease (33) Alzheimer’s disease (41) and also stroke, by reducing the focal ischemic brain damage (42).

Although there have been many published studies regarding a decrease of carcinogenesis rate in human and animal subjects that followed a caloric restriction diet, an increase in public and scientific interest on intermittent fasting-type diets brought up the subject of the scientific proves for a similar (anticarcinogenic) effect in these dietary regimens. There have been published a couple of case studies that supports their antitumoral effects (43). Recent research studies have shown that the association of this type of diet with chemotherapy increases CD8 (+)-mediated tumor cytotoxicity and therefore T cells capacity to destroy the tumor cells (44) and also decreases the side effects (45, 46).

Individuals with asthma also seem to present an improvement in PFT (pulmonary function test) after only two months of intermittent fasting, every other day (47).

**Physiological mechanisms of intermittent fasting**

In order to explain the positive effect of intermittent fasting, it has been proposed a hypothesis, which states that the temporary caloric deficit produces a moderate oxidative stress that activates genes responsible for mechanisms of cell repairing and protection (48).

In a paper published in Dose-Response journal (15), Mattson argues that intermittent fasting has a positive effect on health since it mimics the feeding conditions of human being in an era preceding the modern civilisation. Temporary absence of food alternated with periods of overabundance and this represented the “natural” condition of human being, whose physiology was not adapted to the continuous and uniform feeding that characterizes our current civilization. Initiating insulin secretion 5 times a day can generate a cell resistance, which is the first step in the development of type 2 diabetes (10).

During periods of food scarcity experienced by human beings in the past, adaptation to caloric restriction represented a survival advantage. Such an adaptation involves a commutation from the utilization of hepatic and muscle glycogen to the mobilization of free-fatty acids from adipose tissues and subsequently their conversion to ketones, as a source of alternative energy (49, 50).

Ketones are considered to exert protective properties on neurons (51-53) and could modulate the expression of genes responsible for repairing processes involving autophagy (35, 40, 54-56). Autophagic processes are required to preserve muscle mass (57).

Intermittent fasting also produces adaptive reactions that improve the physical qualities required to obtain food: endurance capacity and muscle force, but also cognitive functions and stress resistance (58-62).

From the same evolutionary perspective, to the necessity of subjecting the human body to intermittent fasting is added the need of introducing physical exercise into the daily routine and of ingesting certain substances, contained in plants, responsible for inducing a hormetic-type response (15).

Mattson, Longo and Harvie support the idea that caloric restriction initiates the stem cell regeneration and has long-term metabolic effects, but consider that more studies are necessary to verify the magnitude of these effects in the case of an intermittent exposure, as well as their potential to prolong life span in humans (20).

**Time-restricted feeding, a more compliant form of intermittent fasting**

A major impediment regarding compliance to an intermittent fasting-type diet is represented by the exaggerated hunger sensations, which could initiate later on an overfeeding response. Clayton et al. have studied the effect of 24hour severe caloric restriction on appetite regulation and energy intake in lean human subjects. Their results showed that this type of caloric restriction produced the following day only a transitory increase of appetite and energy intake (7%), while the hormonal markers of appetite didn’t increase enough to induce hyperphagia and the total caloric intake was smaller.
Seimon et al. consider intermittent fasting as a valid solution, but not superior to constant caloric reduction for decreasing the body weight and adipose tissue and improving glucose homeostasis and admit that this diet regimen could reduce appetite (64). Although intermittent fasting is a very promising dietary procedure for improving the health status of obese population with metabolic pathology, all-day extreme caloric restrictions are associated with hunger and irritability, which makes them difficult to implement into the life regimen of these subjects. A far more compliant alternative would be the daily time-restricted feeding (TRF).

In spite of a general public perception about the consumption of at least 3 daily meals, few scientific studies regarding optimal meal frequency had been performed until 2005 (65). In 2005, in Lancet, Mattson wrote an editorial to encourage the initiation of studies concerning the effect of meal frequency on health (66).

In 2007, Stote et al. published the results of a study comparing the modifications of certain physiological parameters in humans, induced by administering the same amount of calories in one meal per day versus three meals per day (67). The authors claimed that the two feeding patterns didn’t cause significant modifications of the heart rate, blood pressure, body temperature and most blood parameters, but produced a slight increase of the blood pressure during the period when one meal per day was administrated. This result somehow contradicts other studies, performed on animals, which showed a decrease in the blood pressure and heart rate for intermittent feeding, even in the absence of a total caloric restriction (68). The authors themselves offer a pertinent explanation for this result, considering that the cause of this contradiction was the influence of circadian rhythm on blood pressure (69): the blood pressure was taken in the morning in the case of the 3 meals per day-protocol and in the afternoon in the case of 1 meal per day-protocol. What is very interesting in the conclusions of this study is the fact that, although consumption of the daily caloric intake in a single meal per day was associated by subjects with an increased hunger sensation, it produced a reduction of the body weight and adipose tissue mass. The slight caloric deficit induced by the decreased feeding frequency (65 kcal/day) doesn’t totally explain the modifications of the body composition. That is why the authors considered that probably the long fasting periods determined the metabolic modifications (e.g., an accelerated mobilization of fatty acids from adipose tissues with the purpose of contributing to gluconeogenesis when the glycogen stores became depleted). The study conclusion states the following: “Consumption of 1 meal per day produces weight loss and a reduction of the adipose tissue mass in the absence of a significant change in the caloric intake” (70). Chang et al studied the metabolic effects of time restricted feeding (TRF) in a population of obese mice with pre-existent metabolic diseases, such as impaired glucose tolerance and hepatic steatosis. Although over a period of 8 hours each day the subjects had ad libitum access to a high-fat diet, after 7 weeks they presented a rapid weight loss, followed later on by a reduction of insulin tolerance and hepatic steatosis. The authors concluded that time-restricted feeding, without a caloric restriction, can be a compliant and effective type of intermittent fasting, for the purpose of reducing the metabolic abnormalities associated with obesity (71).

In a study regarding the influence of meal frequency and timing on metabolic risk factors, Varady didn’t notice significant modifications in the case of an isocaloric intake, but remarked a more pronounced weight loss for a hypocaloric diet, when this type of diet was characterised by a smaller meal frequency (2 meals/day vs 6 meals/day) (72).

During sleeping, humans, rodents as well as other mammals, are in a period of fasting. Extension of fasting initiates an alternate metabolic phase, in which energy metabolism relies less on glucose and more on ketones. Reduction of the feeding period during the daytime increases the duration of fasting that precedes or follows the sleeping and, by mimicking the periods of caloric restriction, could represent a feasible, effective and inexpensive method to improve life expectancy (73). In an article reviewing the studies that recommend administration of many small meals per day (6-10) to patients with gastrointestinal disorders, the authors argue that those studies are supported by few scientific evidences. Furthermore, an increased meal frequency could generates various side effects, such as weight gain, suboptimal nutrient quality, late night-meal affecting sleeping, eating disorders, etc (74). In 2014 Mattson wrote: “an example of myth debunked by scientific studies is the notion that is healthier to have many small meals each day rather than skipping a meal. In fact, fasting for 16-24 hours activates the stress-adaptation mechanisms, which offer protection against diseases, while eating 3 or 4 times a day prevents the response of adaptive mechanisms” (15).

Although the subjects that followed one daily meal diet or alternate day fasting noticed an intensification of hunger sensation during the day (4), they also observed an increased satiety after meal, which made it difficult to consume all recommended amount of food (67).